

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,913	02/27/2002	James J. Jakubowski	43231C	3329
109	7590 01/24/2005		EXAMINER .	
THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY			NILAND, PATRICK DENNIS	
P. O. BOX 19	UAL PROPERTY SECTIO 167	N .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MIDLAND, MI 48641-1967			1714	

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			iA
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/085,913	JAKUBOWSKI ET AL.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patrick D. Niland	1714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated) a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a).	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate of the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	,
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) M they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: of the second			T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)	will be entered a	and an

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_.

10. Other: \_\_\_\_.

8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_.
Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_.
Claim(s) rejected: 7, 9, and 13.

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1714

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment of claim 7 was not previously considered and requires further consideration and search. It is also unclear how the first recitation of "comprising" is affected by the latter recitation of "consisting" which further requires further consideration. The exclusion of self emulsifying prepolymer of newly presented claims 15-16 was not previously presented and requires further search and consideration. Furthermore, the newly presented negative limitation does not have specific basis in the specification which does not exclude self emulsifying prepolymers specifically and therefore raises the issue of new matter under Ex parte Grasselli.